



#### Neutrino properties from experiments

Gustav Wikström University of Geneva

#### What we know about neutrinos

3 flavours  $e,\mu,\tau$ , weakly interacting Flavour universality = interactions are equal

Neutrinos oscillate = flavour can change!

Massive but very light!

Squared mass differences measured

 $\Delta m_{21}^2 \simeq +8 \times 10^{-5} eV^2$   $\Delta m_{32}^2 \simeq 2 \times 10^{-3} eV^2$ 

Mixing parameters measured

 $\theta_{23} \simeq 45^{\circ} \qquad \theta_{12} \simeq 32^{\circ} \qquad \theta_{13} < 7^{\circ}$ 

### Neutrino mixing matrix

$$\begin{array}{c} \text{Relates weak states} \quad \begin{bmatrix} \nu_{e} \\ \nu_{\mu} \\ \nu_{\tau} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} U_{e1} & U_{e2} & U_{e3} \\ U_{\mu1} & U_{\mu2} & U_{\mu3} \\ U_{\tau1} & U_{\tau2} & U_{\tau3} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \nu_{1} \\ \nu_{2} \\ \nu_{3} \end{bmatrix} \text{ with mass states} \\ \begin{array}{c} \text{(1 0 0)} \\ 0 & \cos\theta_{23} & \sin\theta_{23} \\ 0 & -\sin\theta_{23} & \cos\theta_{23} \end{bmatrix} \cdot \begin{pmatrix} \cos\theta_{13} & 0 & \sin\theta_{13}e^{-i\delta_{cp}} \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ -\sin\theta_{13}e^{-i\delta_{cp}} & 0 & \cos\theta_{13} \end{bmatrix} \cdot \begin{pmatrix} \cos\theta_{12} & \sin\theta_{12} & 0 \\ -\sin\theta_{12} & \cos\theta_{12} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \cdot \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & e^{-i\alpha/2} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \cdot \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & e^{-i\alpha/2} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \cdot \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & e^{-i\alpha/2} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & e^{-i\beta/2} \end{pmatrix} \\ \begin{array}{c} \text{"Atmospheric"} & \text{"Reactor"} & \text{"Solar"} & \text{"Ov}\beta\beta \end{array}$$

Equivalent of CKM matrix for quarks

### Neutrino mixing matrix



#### Mass states lead to oscillations

- Since they propagate at different speeds they will shift in phase as they go
- The corresponding weak state propability will therefore change

![](_page_4_Figure_3.jpeg)

$$P(\nu_{\mu} \rightarrow \nu_{\mu}) \sim 1 - \sin^2(2\theta_{23}) \sin^2(1.27 \Delta m_{23}^2 L/E)$$

#### Mass states lead to oscillations

- Since they propagate at different speeds they will shift in phase as they go
- The corresponding weak state propability will therefore change

![](_page_5_Figure_3.jpeg)

$$P(\nu_{\mu} \rightarrow \nu_{\mu}) \sim 1 - \sin^2(2\theta_{23}) \sin^2(1.27 \Delta m_{23}^2 L/E)$$

#### What we don't know about neutrinos

- Absolute mass!
- Mass hierarchy
- Dirac / Majorana nature? LN-violation?
- Value of the CP-violating phase  $\delta_{CP}$
- Is  $\theta_{13} > 0$  ?
- Asymptotic form of mixing matrix
- Number of sterile neutrinos (if any)

#### Mass hierarchy

![](_page_7_Figure_1.jpeg)

Both hierarchies allowed by data

#### Structure of the mixing matrix

The matrix elements seem to indicate a tribi-maximal scenario, meaning 2 is an even mix of  $e,\mu,\tau$  and 3 is an even mix of  $\mu,\tau$ 

$$U_{PMNS} = \begin{pmatrix} \sqrt{2/3} & 1/\sqrt{3} & 0\\ -1/\sqrt{6} & 1/\sqrt{3} & 1/\sqrt{2}\\ 1/\sqrt{6} & -1/\sqrt{3} & 1/\sqrt{2} \end{pmatrix}$$

but how close to this ideal case is the real matrix?

If the matrix is tribimaximal, then it's real and  $\delta_{CP}=0$ 

### Dirac or Majorana

Neutrino masses introduce L-R transitions

If neutrinos are Dirac particles there should exist 2 sterile (unobserved) neutrinos N aside of the ordinary ones

$$v_L, N_R \qquad \overline{v_R}, \overline{N_L}$$

If they are Majorana particles then

$$N_R = \overline{\upsilon_R} \qquad N_L = \upsilon_L$$

(which implies lepton number violation), and we need only the 2 observed particles  $v_L, v_R$ 

#### **CP** violation

Implies that the amplitudes for the (vacuum) oscillations

$$\mathcal{V}_{\mu} \rightarrow \mathcal{V}_{e} \qquad \overline{\mathcal{V}}_{\mu} \rightarrow \overline{\mathcal{V}}_{e}$$

are different due to a non-zero  $\delta_{CP}$ 

(CPT conservation demands that the amplitudes for

$$\nu_{\mu} \rightarrow \nu_{\mu} \qquad \overline{\nu_{\mu}} \rightarrow \overline{\nu_{\mu}}$$

remain identical. CPT violated if neutrinos and antineutrinos have different masses)

#### **Recent results**

Patras Workshop Zürich, 8 July 2010

## Neutrino interactions

- Better precision needed for osc. experiments
- Experimental results seem to diverge
- Measure flux X cross-section
- MiniBooNE/SciBooNE data fits within syst. with NOMAD?

![](_page_12_Figure_5.jpeg)

![](_page_12_Figure_6.jpeg)

# MINOS long baseline

- Muon (anti) neutrino beam experiment
- Fermilab NuMI beam line, L/E 500 km/GeV
- ND 1 km, FD 735 km
- Measure  $v_{\mu}$  and  $\bar{v}_{\mu}$  disappearance, osc. vs decay/decoherence
- Mixing to sterile neutrinos?
- NC disappearance?

![](_page_13_Figure_7.jpeg)

# **MINOS** $\nu_{\mu}$ disappearance results

- Muon neutrinos oscillate to tau and "disappear" from beam (=undetected)
- An experimental result that nicely matches the Super-K observation of atmospheric neutrinos

![](_page_14_Figure_3.jpeg)

# **MINOS** $\bar{v_{\mu}}$ disappearance results

- The two regions only just overlap
- Uncertainty dominated by statistics → more data needed
- CPT conservation requires osc. to be the same!

![](_page_15_Figure_4.jpeg)

### **MINOS** $v_e$ appearance results

• Normal hierarchy  $\sin^2(2\theta_{13}) < 0.12$ 

• Inverted hierarchy  $\sin^2(2\theta_{13}) < 0.20$ 

Both assumes:  $\sin^2(2\theta_{23}) = 1$  $\Delta m_{32}^2 = 2.43 \times 10^{-3} eV^2$ 

![](_page_16_Figure_4.jpeg)

### Opera

- Beam of muon neutrinos produced at CERN
- Beam observed at LNGS (L = 732 km)
- Goal: to observe the oscillation to tau neutrinos

 Important for the exclusion of exotic models like decay and decoherance etc.

![](_page_17_Picture_5.jpeg)

#### **Opera** result: one $\nu_{\mu} \rightarrow \nu_{\tau}$ event seen

![](_page_18_Figure_1.jpeg)

#### **Opera** result: one $\nu_{\mu} \rightarrow \nu_{\tau}$ event seen

![](_page_19_Figure_1.jpeg)

## LSND anomaly (2001)

![](_page_20_Figure_1.jpeg)

#### $\Delta m_{32}^2 + \Delta m_{21}^2 + \Delta m_{13}^2 \neq 0$

![](_page_20_Figure_3.jpeg)

Not possible to fit these 3 oscillations into a consistent model without adding sterile neutrinos or requiring CPTviolation:  $m_v \neq m_{\bar{v}}$ 

### MiniBooNE short baseline

- Designed to test the LSND anomaly
- Muon (anti) neutrino beamline
- 500 m, 500 MeV, L/E ~1
- Search for electron (anti) neutrino appearance
- No near detector

![](_page_21_Figure_6.jpeg)

### MiniBooNE results: neutrino mode

- Inconsistent with LSND!
- Excess observed at low energy (unexplained)!

![](_page_22_Figure_3.jpeg)

![](_page_22_Figure_4.jpeg)

## Antineutrino mode: anomaly still there?

- Data don't exclude LSND
- 3% consistent with null
- Suggests sterile neutrinos?

![](_page_23_Figure_4.jpeg)

![](_page_23_Figure_5.jpeg)

# Coming results

Patras Workshop Zürich, 8 July 2010

#### **T2K** – next generation $\nu_{\mu}$ beam

![](_page_25_Figure_1.jpeg)

### **T2K** optimized to measure $\theta_{13}$

![](_page_26_Figure_1.jpeg)

 Data from the ND is used to get an expected spectrum, which compared to FD observation yields oscillation parameters

![](_page_26_Figure_3.jpeg)

#### **T2K** is taking oscillation data ...

![](_page_27_Figure_1.jpeg)

### ... and interaction data

- The near detector is capable of studying neutrinonucleus interactions
- Also gives a precise flux prediction for the neutrino beam at Super-K

![](_page_28_Figure_3.jpeg)

### Double Chooz and Daya Bay

- "Reactor" experiments, use electron antineutrinos from nuclear power plants
- Low energy ~MeV
- Short baseline ~ 1 km
- Both soon taking data!

![](_page_29_Figure_5.jpeg)

- Technique already tested
   in Chooz
- Detect via inverse βreaction in water tanks
- Extract  $\theta_{13}$  from the strength of antineutrino disappearance

 $P(\bar{v}_e \rightarrow \bar{v}_e) \sim 1 - \sin^2(2\theta_{13})X$  $\sin^2(1.27\Delta m_{23}^2 L/E)$ 

#### MINERvA taking data

- Designed to measure neutrino-nucleus interactions at low energies
- Running on the MINOS beamline, where info on the muons are taken

![](_page_30_Figure_3.jpeg)

![](_page_30_Picture_4.jpeg)

### KATRIN: neutrino mass data soon

- Will study the mass of the electron neutrino from β-decay of <sup>3</sup>H (Tritium)
- Sensitivity is 0.2 eV
- Looks for the endpoint of the spectrum
- A direct mass measurement!

![](_page_31_Picture_5.jpeg)

![](_page_31_Figure_6.jpeg)

### Ονββ decay

 Experiments look for LNV processes in nuclei: (A,Z) → (A,Z+2) + 2e<sup>-</sup>

![](_page_32_Figure_2.jpeg)

# 0vββ discovery claim (2001)

- The famous claim by Klapdor-Kleingrothaus et al. with data from **Heidelberg-Moscow** exp.
- Claim not refuted or confirmed by other experiments (yet)
- Remains quite controversial in the community

![](_page_33_Figure_4.jpeg)

## $0\nu\beta\beta$ searches ongoing

- EXO, a Liquid Xe TPC with Ba<sup>2+</sup> tagging
- Taking data now!
- In 2 years sensitive to a Majorana mass of 0.1 eV
- Ge experiments
   Majorana and GERDA also in the start pits

![](_page_34_Picture_5.jpeg)

## $0\nu\beta\beta$ and mass hierarchy

- A detected signal below
   0.01 eV would tell us that the mass hierarchy is normal, since the degeneracy is broken
- If a signal lies above, neutrino mass input is needed

![](_page_35_Figure_3.jpeg)

#### Summary

- Exciting scenarios exist in the neutrino sector: CPV, CPTV, LNV, sterile neutrinos?
- Oscillations now firmly established
- Measurement of  $\theta_{13}$  soon to come
- Precision measurements of the other angles
- Neutrino masses still further away
- As always: stay tuned!

## "Solar" mixing term $\theta_{12}$

- Oscillation of electron neutrinos to muon or tau neutrinos
- First observed by SNO and Super-K
- Later confirmed by the KamLAND experiment using antineutrinos from 53 reactors with long baseline
- Long baseline ~180 km sensitive to  $\Delta m_{21}^2$

![](_page_37_Figure_5.jpeg)

### "Reactor" mixing term $\theta_{13}$

- Measured by Chooz (1999)
- Oscillation of electron antineutrinos to muon or tau neutrinos
- Neutrinos produced in two nearby reactors
- Short baseline (~1 km) sensitive to  $\Delta m_{32}^2$

![](_page_38_Figure_5.jpeg)