Dark Matter O

Electroweak Symmetry Breaking

Géraldine SERVANT, CERN-TH & IPhT CEA Saclay



The 2 main races of the next several years:

the searches for the Higgs Boson and Dark Matter

Huge experimental effort towards the identification of the Higgs boson



2010: First collisions at the LHC

Direct exploration of the Fermi scale starts.

main physics goal:

What is the mechanism of Electroweak Symmetry breaking?



Most of DM experiments rely on the assumption that DM is a WIMP

Are DM and electroweak symmetry breaking related?

Dark Matter Candidates Ω ~1



In Theory Space

Peccei-Quinn		Super	Supersymmetry	
axion majoron	(almost) Standard Model	neutralino	axino	
	sterile neutrino	grancino	Sneutrino	
(echnicolor & SU(2)-ntuplet heavy fermion		Extra Dimensions Kaluza-Klein photon		
technifermion		Kaluza-Klein graviton	neutrino branon	
wimp	GUT		WIMP thermal relic superWIMP condensate gravitational production or at preheating	

Dark Matter and the Fermi scale

Fraction of the universe's energy density stored in a stable massive thermal relic:

Ω_{DM}≈_____

 \rightarrow a particle with a typical Fermi-scale cross section $\sigma_{anni} \approx 1$ pb leads to the correct dark matter abundance.

a compelling coincidence (the "WIMP miracle")

Electroweak symmetry breaking: 2 main questions

What is unitarizing the W_LW_L scattering amplitude?



the Higgs or something else?



What is cancelling the divergent diagrams?

(i.e what is keeping the Higgs light?) : Hierarchy problem



 Λ , the maximum mass scale that the theory describes

strong sensitivity on UV unknown physics

need new degrees of freedom & new symmetries to cancel the divergences

supersymmetry, gauge-Higgs unification, Higgs as a pseudo-goldstone boson...

→ theoretical need for new physics at the TeV scale

Which new physics?

Supersymmetric

Minimally extended (2 Higgs doublets)

Electroweak symmetry breaking

> Composite, Higgs as pseudo-goldstone boson, H=A₅

Higgsless, technicolor-like, 5-dimensional

In all explicit examples, without unwarranted cancellations, new phenomena are required at a scale Λ ~[3-5] × M_{Higgs}

Which Higgs ?

Composite Higgs ?		
Little Higgs ?		
Littlest Higgs ?		
Intermediate Higgs ?		
Slim Higgs ?		
Fat Higgs ?		
Gauge-Higgs ?		
Holographic Higgs ?		
Gaugephobic Higgs ?		
Higgsless ?		
UnHiggs ?		
Portal Higgs ?		
Simplest Higgs ?		
Private Higgs ?		
Lone Higgs ?		
Phantom Higgs ?		

New symmetries at the TeV scale and Dark Matter

to cut-off quadratically divergent quantum corrections to the Higgs mass



The hunt for WIMPS: a well-defined programme

Work out properties of new degrees of freedom

The stability of a new particle is a common feature of many models



But honestly: None of the SM extensions on the market (MSSM, RS, Little Higgs, Composite Higgs, UED ...) are fully satisfactory

Anyhow, within 10 years, we'll test them and we'll test the WIMP hypothesis

Can the Higgs be searched for outside of colliders?

The LHC & Tevatron may not be the only places in the universe where the Higgs is being produced today

What about Higgs production today in Dark Matter annihilations or Dark Matter decays?





Indirect probes of the Higgs in space

Discovery of a gamma-ray line produced by WIMP annihilations in space and whose energy reflects the mass of the Higgs (and the WIMP)



could even allow the first direct observation of a Higgs production process

if the WIMP hypothesis is correct: likely to be connected to the physics of EW symmetry breaking and may have enhanced couplings to massive states

Seeing the light from Dark Matter

- photons travel undeflected and point directly to source
- photons travel almost unattenuated and don't require a diffusion model
- detected from the ground (ACTs) and from above (FERMI)





Seeing the light from Dark Matter γ 's from DM annihilations consist of 2 components Lines Continuum Gamma-rays secondary y's primary Y's π0 W⁻/Z/q WIMP Dark ?? **Matter Particles** χ π+ E_{CM}~100GeV μ^+ loop-level $W^+/Z/\overline{q}$ annihilation into y+X **Neutrinos** πх ν_{μ} from hadronisation, -> mono energetic lines μdecays of SM particles & final state radiation $v_{\mu}v_{e}$ superimposed onto continuum at e-+ a few p/\overline{p} , d/\overline{d} $E_{\gamma} = M_{DM} \left(1 - \frac{M_X^2}{4M_{DM}^2} \right)$ 10 M = I TeValmost -> striking spectral feature, SMOKING GUN $\mathrm{dlog}\mathrm{N}_{\gamma}/\mathrm{dlog}E$ featureless but with sharp cutoff signature of Dark Matter at Wimp mass 10^{-1} lines are usually small (loop-suppressed) compared to continuum Cirelli, Kadastik, W, Z, t, b, h Raidall, Strumia '09 Bergstrom, Ullio, Buckley '98

 10^3 GeV

 10^{-2}

10

 10^{2}

Seeing the light from Dark Matter

• What if the nature of DM is such that production of "direct" photons can be large?

• The position and strength of lines can provide a wealth of information about DM:

 $\rightarrow \gamma \gamma$ line measures mass of DM

 $E_{\gamma} = M_{DM} \left(1 - \frac{M_X^2}{4M_{DM}^2} \right)$

- → relative strengths between lines provides info on WIMP couplings
- \rightarrow observation of γ H would indicate WIMP is not scalar or Majorana fermion

 \rightarrow if other particles in the dark sector, we could possibly observe a series of lines

[the "WIMP forest", Bertone et al. '09]

Photon flux produced by DM annihilations

and collected from a region of angular size $\Delta \Omega$

 $\frac{d\Phi}{dE} = \frac{1}{4\pi} \frac{r_{\odot} \rho_{\odot}^2}{4M_{DM}^2} \sum_{\ell = f} \langle \sigma v \rangle_f \frac{dN_{\gamma}^f}{dE} \int_{\Delta\Omega} d\Omega \int_{los} \frac{dl}{r_{\odot}} \left(\frac{\rho(r(l,\psi))}{\rho_{\odot}} \right)^2$ includes all possible annihilation final states

microphysics



astrophysics (halo profile)

Astrophysical uncertainties on the DM density profile

MW halo mode	r_s in kpc	ρ_s in GeV/cm ³	$\bar{J}(10^{-5})$
NFW [20]	20	0.26	$15 \cdot 10^3$
Einasto [21]	20	0.06	$7.6 \cdot 10^3$
Adiabatic[22]			$4.7 \cdot 10^7$

for observation of the galactic center region with angular acceptance $\Delta\Omega\text{=}10^{-5}$



Searches focus on regions of the sky where DM clumps: Galactic Center, dwarf galaxies...

Astrophysical uncertainties on the DM density profile



γ-lines from DM Past results

SUPERSYMMETRY

Bergstrom, Ullio, Buckley' 98 Bringmann, Bergstrom & Edsjo '08



The Inert Doublet Model (IDM)

Deshpande-Ma''78; Barbieri-Hall-Rychkov 06; Lopez Honorez-Nezri-Oliver-Tytgat 06; Gerard-Herquet'07; Hambye, Tytgat 07

A two-Higgs extension of the SM with an unbroken Z_2 symmetry

 $H_1 \rightarrow H_1$ and $H_2 \rightarrow -H_2$ (and all SM fields are even)

 $V = \mu_1^2 |H_1|^2 + \mu_2^2 |H_2|^2 + \lambda_1 |H_1|^4 + \lambda_2 |H_2|^4 + \lambda_3 |H_1|^2 |H_2|^2 + \lambda_4 |H_1^{\dagger} H_2|^2 + rac{\lambda_5}{2} \left[(H_1^{\dagger} H_2)^2 + h.c.
ight]$

Scalar WIMP with MDM~MW



annihilations into $\gamma~\gamma~\&\gamma~Z$ mainly through loops of W

Gustaffsson et al. '07

virtual W nearly on-shell threshold enhancement



Lines from 6D Universal Extra Dimensions (the "Chiral square")

WIMP=scalar B_H ("spinless photon") with M~200-500 GeV Burdman, Dobrescu, Ponton'05 Dobrescu, Hooper, Kong, Mahbubani '07

B_H B_H -> γV where V= γ , Z and B^(1,1)



Annihilations into γ H?

e.g. "Chiral Square" (6D UED model), Inert Doublet Model ...

Non-relativistic scattering of 2 scalars \Rightarrow The initial state angular momentum is zero

OK if 2 vectors in the final state but vector+scalar final state requires initial state orbital angular momentum \Rightarrow higher order in v²



Scalar DM

Must also annihilate at higher order in v^2 (initial state S=0)

Vector DM

 $\overline{\mathbf{\cdot}}$

e.g. KK photon in 5D UED, heavy photon in Little Higgs models

OK in principle but if it annihilates via s-channel scalar exchange: still v² -suppressed; if t-channel (box diagrams), this is typically suppressed by couplings and masses (e.g. in UED or Little Higgs)

Dirac Fermion DM

e.g. Agashe-Servant '04; Belanger-Pukhov-Servant '07

he top quark-Dark Matter

Jackson, Servant, Shaughnessy, Tait, Taoso,'09

Dirac Dark Matter annihilation into y H





More generally, in models of partial fermion compositeness, natural to expect that only the top couples sizably to a new strongly interacting sector.

Relic density calculation (assuming no $v \bar{v}$ asymmetry)



Direct detection constraints



Dark matter mass from relic density calculation



MDM ~ 150 GeV

as the Z' coupling to top and v increases, the prediction for M_{DM} gets narrower -> M_{DM} ~ 150 GeV

for $q_{\nu}^{Z'}, q_t^{Z'} \gtrsim 1$

γ signal from ν annihilation



Note: no γγ line as dictated by Landau-Yang theorem (Z' being the sole portal from the wimp sector to the SM)







liggs in Space!

 γ -ray lines from the Galactic Center $\Delta\Omega$ = 10⁻⁵ sr



γ -ray lines from the Galactic Center $\Delta\Omega$ = 10⁻⁵ sr

Spectra for parameters leading to correct relic density and satisfying direct detection constraints



NFW profile adiabatically contracted

Increasing MZ'

$M_{Z'} = 400 \, GeV$

 $M_{Z'} = 800 \, GeV$

$M_{Z'} = 1 \text{ TeV}$



To recap:

DM almost decouples from light fermions while still having large couplings to top

 $M_{DM} < M_t$ since the strong coupling to top would otherwise give a too low relic density (for O(1) couplings).

DM mass is below kinematic threshold for top production in the zero velocity limit

Virtual top close to threshold can significantly enhance loop processes producing monochromatic photons. A simple 4d UV completion

All SM fermions are uncharged under U(1)'

in addition to v, add \widetilde{T} (vector-like) charged under U(1)' with same gauge SM quantum numbers as t_R

to realize coupling of top quark to Z' and h:

$$yH\overline{Q}_{3}t_{R} + \mu \overline{\tilde{T}}_{L}\tilde{T}_{R} + Y\Phi\overline{\tilde{T}}_{L}t_{R}$$

higgs of U(1)

the light mass eigen state identified with top quark is an admixture of t and $\widetilde{\mathsf{T}}$

yh line from decaying vector dark matter Arina, Hambye, Ibarra, Weniger 0912.4496

 $\mathcal{L} = \mathcal{L}^{SM} - \frac{1}{4} F^{\mu\nu} \cdot F_{\mu\nu} + (\mathcal{D}_{\mu}\phi)^{\dagger} (\mathcal{D}^{\mu}\phi) - \lambda_{m}\phi^{\dagger}\phi H^{\dagger}H - \mu_{\phi}^{2}\phi^{\dagger}\phi - \lambda_{\phi}(\phi^{\dagger}\phi)^{2}$

 A_i^{μ} : stable because of accidental SO(3)

stability broken by nonrenormalizable operators:



Detectability

see D. Horns and L.Strigari's talks

Collider signatures of a top (and DM)-philic Z'

 $t\overline{t}$ • *ff*

Z' has suppressed couplings to light quarks -> no observable $t \bar{t}$ resonances



• $ff \to Z' \to \gamma H$ $\sim \gamma$ 7'

energetic monochromatic γ





SM: $\sigma_{ttveve} = 4.1 \text{ fb}$

Summary

Are DM and EW symmetry breaking related ? If so, wimps may have enhanced couplings to massive states, top, W/Z, H etc.

DM-Top quark connection (RS and composite Higgs inspired)

Signals of a Higgs from γ rays

Observation of γ H would indicate that the WIMP is not a scalar nor a Majorana fermion but most likely a Dirac fermion or a vector

Worth checking whether Higgs is hiding in gamma-ray telescope's data (Fermi, Magic, Hess, Cangaroo, Veritas...)

Complementary Collider signatures (four-top events, under study)

Who will see it first?



Annexes

Large γ line signals compatible with low \bar{p} and e^+ fluxes

